

HULA: HABITUAL URINALYSIS IS A LABORIOUS ACTIVITY

Noreen MURRAY

**A thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements for
admission to the Degree of Masters of Nursing (Research)**

University of Technology, Sydney

November 2005

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis is written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Norreen Murrill

DEDICATION

I wish to dedicate this thesis to my mother who died on 28th Jan 1997. Mum, your unconditional love, encouragement, and constant support of all of my endeavours and those of my brothers and sister, still fills me with inspiration and confidence to always embrace a challenge. I thank you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The HULA study was made possible by funding received from a NHMRC grant awarded to St. George Hospital in 1998.

This study was a collaborative piece of work between the Division of Women's and Children's Health and the Division of Medicine at St. George Hospital. Kogarah. I would like to both acknowledge and thank all those who worked with me to make it happen.

My sincere thanks to Dr. Caroline Homer, research midwife on this project and one of my university supervisors for her support, professional guidance and encouragement. Thanks too to Professor Lesley Barkley and Dr. Margaret Cook for their advice and support. A special thanks to my dear friend and colleague Julie Curtis CNS, who coordinated the study with me. You kept my spirits up during those long hours of data collection.

I wish to acknowledge the contribution made by Professor Mark Brown, Dr. Greg Davis and Dr. George Mangos.

I also wish to thank Rob Isaccs for his contribution in developing the data base for this study and to Therese Balsadarre for her help with data entry. My special thanks to all the midwives who work in antenatal services at St. George hospital for embracing this study. Thank you to Dr. Hargood and her midwife for recruiting women at St George private hospital.

I am particularly grateful to the women who participated in the HULA study.

Thank you to my husband Ross and our beautiful children Anna Maria and Andres for enriching my life. A very special thanks to my darling Aunt Eileen who has provided such support and has enable me to finish my thesis.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADIPS	Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society
ANC	Antenatal Clinic
ASSHP	Australasian Society into the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy
BC	Birth Centre
BP	Blood Pressure
DAU	Day Assessment Unit
GDM	Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
GH	Gestational Hypertension
GP	General Practitioner
GTT	Glucose Tolerance Test
IUGR	Intrauterine Growth Restriction
ISSHP	International Society into the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy
MSU	Mid Stream Urine
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
PC	Protein/Creatinine ratio
RCT	Randomised Controlled Trial
PE	Pre-eclampsia
SGA	Small for Gestational Age
SLE	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
STOMP	St. George Outreach Maternity Program
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
WHO	World Health Organization

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether routine urinalysis in the antenatal period facilitates diagnosis of pre-eclampsia. The research question was: can routine urinalysis during pregnancy be discontinued in women with normal results of dipstick urinalysis and microscopy at the first antenatal visit?

Design: A prospective observational study was undertaken.

Setting: A metropolitan public hospital and a private hospital in Sydney (NSW).

Participants: One thousand women were enrolled at their first antenatal visit (March to November 1999), and 913 completed the study.

Research Variables: The primary outcome was a diagnosis of hypertension (gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, or pre-eclampsia superimposed on chronic hypertension). Other variables were proteinuria, haematuria, parity, past history of pre-eclampsia, renal disease, diabetes mellitus and multiple pregnancy.

Results: Thirty-five women had dipstick proteinuria at their first antenatal visit. In 25 (25/35) of these women, further dipstick proteinuria was detected during pregnancy, and two (2/35) were diagnosed with pre-eclampsia. Of the 867 without dipstick proteinuria at the first visit, 338 (39%) had dipstick proteinuria ($\geq 1+$) at some time during pregnancy. Only six women developed proteinuria before the onset of hypertension. Women who had an abnormal result of a midstream urine test at their first visit, were more likely to have a urinary tract infection diagnosed during pregnancy than women with a normal result, however, the numbers were small.

Conclusion: This study suggests that urinalysis can be omitted from the routine antenatal care of 'low risk' women, provided that urinalysis and microscopy is conducted on a carefully collected mid stream specimen of urine at the booking visit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY	I
DEDICATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
ABBREVIATIONS	IV
ABSTRACT	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
LIST OF FIGURES	X
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
Organisation of this thesis	3
Summary.....	4
CHAPTER TWO: BACKGROUND: THE LITERATURE	5
Introduction.....	5
Why test urine in pregnancy?	5
Hypertension in pregnancy	5
<i>Gestational hypertension</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Pre-eclampsia</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Chronic hypertension.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Pre-eclampsia superimposed on chronic hypertension</i>	<i>8</i>
Monitoring of hypertension	8
Proteinuria.....	8
<i>Measuring proteinuria</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Asymptomatic bacteruria</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Haematuria</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Nitrites and leucocyte esterase</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Glycosuria.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Screening test protocol for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.....</i>	<i>14</i>
Collecting and testing the sample	14

<i>Mid stream specimen of urine</i>	14
<i>Automated vs eyeball testing</i>	15
<i>Twenty four hour urine collection vs spot urine protein/creatinine ratio</i>	16
Whom to test?	17
Summary of the evidence	18
PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS	19
<i>Pilot Study</i>	19
<i>Telephone Survey</i>	20
<i>Cost analysis of urinalysis</i>	23
<i>Justification for the HULA Study</i>	24
<i>Summary</i>	24
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	26
Design	26
Ethics approval	26
Method	26
Population attending St. George Hospital	26
Sample size	27
Recruitment Procedure	27
Blood pressure monitoring	29
Definitions	29
<i>Pre eclampsia</i>	29
<i>Proteinuria</i>	29
<i>Haematuria</i>	29
Study Protocols	30
Management of abnormal urine results	30
Study sites and coordination	31
Data collection	32
Outcome Measures - Classification	33
Outcomes	33
Data Entry	34
Quality Assurance	34

Data Analysis.....	35
Summary.....	36
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	37
Introduction.....	37
Results of HULA Study - Demographics	37
Urine tests at the first visit	38
Proteinuria during pregnancy and pre-eclampsia.....	39
Microscopic examination and culture of urine	40
Summary.....	41
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	43
Introduction.....	43
Primary questions	43
Secondary questions	45
Discussion	46
<i>Proteinuria.....</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Nitrites, blood and leucocyte esterase</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Quantification of Protein</i>	<i>48</i>
Limitations.....	49
<i>Study Design</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Compliance with the ASSHP Consensus Statement.....</i>	<i>50</i>
Practice changes made as a result of the study	51
Recommendations based on the evidence.....	52
Need for further research.....	52
Conclusion	53
CHAPTER SIX: EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE.....	54
Introduction.....	54
Evidence Based Practice.....	54
Audit – feedback loop.....	55

Getting Evidence Into Practice.....	55
<i>The Audit Result</i>	56
Why get evidence?	56
Finding evidence	56
Why put evidence into practice?	58
Conclusion	59
EPILOGUE: PERSONAL REFLECTION.....	60
APPENDICES.....	62
Appendix A: Instructions for the midwives about the process of the study.....	63
Appendix B: Instructions for collection of urine sample (MSU).....	64
Appendix C: HULA Primary Data Sheet.....	65
Appendix D: HULA Subsequent Data Sheet.....	67
Appendix E: Information Statement: The HULA Study.....	68
REFERENCES	69
CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	75
COPY OF PUBLISHED PAPERS.....	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Types of hospitals who responded to the National Telephone Survey.....	21
Table 2: Cost of routine urine testing per woman per visit	24
Table 3: Demographics are reported in percentages.....	38
Table 4: Dipstick urine testing at first visit N=902	38
Table 5: Development of hypertension and pre-eclampsia	41
Table 6: Development of hypertension and subsequent urinary tract infection according to the results of midstream urine tests at the first antenatal visit (n=913).....	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Flow chart of proteinuria detected during pregnancy and outcomes	39
Figure 2: Detection of dip-stick haematuria in a cohort of 1,000 pregnant women	44